

New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (NMRHCA)

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) 74 Actuarial Valuation for the Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2018

This report has been prepared at the request of NMRHCA Board to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the NMRHCA Board and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2018 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.



100 Montgomery Street Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104-4308 T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 14, 2018

Board of Directors New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority 4308 Carlisle Blvd NE, Suite 104 Albuquerque, NM 87107

Dear Board members:

We are pleased to submit this Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) 74 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2018. It contains various information that will need to be disclosed in order to comply with GASB 74.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices to assist in administering the Plan. The census and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by NMRHCA. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Gary Petersen, FCA, ASA, MAAA, and Mary Kirby, FCA, FSA, MAAA. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and expectations for NMRHCA.

We look forward to reviewing this report at your next meeting and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By: Gary L. Petersen, FCA, ASA, MAAA

Vice President & Consulting Actuary

Mary Kirby, FCA, FSA, MAAA

Senior Vice President & Consulting Actuary

: David Archuleta, Executive Director

SECTION 1

Purposei
General Observations on GASB 74 Actuarial Valuationsi
Significant Issues in Valuation Yearii
Summary of Key Valuation Resultsiii
Important Information about Actuarial Valuationsiv

VALUATION SUMMARY

SECTION 2

GASB 74 INFORMATION

EXHIBIT 1
General Information – "Financial
Statements", Note Disclosures and
Required Supplementary
Information for a Multiple-
Employer Cost Sharing OPEB
Plan1
EXHIBIT 2
Net OPEB Liability 4

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 4

Schedule of NMRHCA's Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years......10

EXHIBIT 5

SECTION 3

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

EXHIBIT I	
Actuarial Assumption and	
Actuarial Cost Method 14	1
EXHIBIT II	
Summary of Plan31	1



Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present certain disclosure information required by Statement No. 74 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board as of June 30, 2018. This valuation is based on:

- > The benefit provisions of the Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Plan, as administered by the Board;
- > The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2017 (updated based upon audit), provided by NMRHCA;
- > The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2018, provided by the plan auditor, Moss Adams on behalf of NMRHCA;
- > Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- > Other (health and non-health) actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, health care trend and enrollment, etc.

General Observations on GASB 74 Actuarial Valuations

The following points should be considered when reviewing this GASB 74 report:

- > The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules only define OPEB liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for OPEB funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices.
- > When measuring OPEB liability, GASB uses the Entry Age actuarial cost method and, for benefits that are being fully funded on an actuarial basis, GASB prescribes the derivation of the discount rate used to calculate liabilities.
 - Pursuant to Paragraph 48 of the GASB Statement No. 74 and based on our understanding of subsequent guidance provided in *Implementation Guide No. 2017-2, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, OPEB plans that are not being fully funded on an actuarial basis are required to go through a cross-over test in determining the discount rate that would be used in the valuation.
- > The Net OPEB Liability (NOL) is equal to the difference between the Total OPEB Liability (TOL) and the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position. The Plan's Fiduciary Net Position is equal to the market value of assets. The NOL reflects all investment gains and losses as of the measurement date.



Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following findings were the results of this actuarial valuation.

- > The discount rates used to determine the TOL and NOL as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 were 4.08% and 3.81%. The detailed calculations used in the derivation of the "cross-over date" used in determining the discount rate of 4.08% used in the calculation of the TOL and NOL as of June 30, 2018 can be found in Exhibit 5 of Section 2. Various other information that is required to be disclosed can be found throughout Exhibits 1 through 4 in Section 2.
- > The discount rate used in the valuation for financial disclosure purposes as of June 30, 2018 is a blend of the assumed investment return on Plan assets (e.g. 7.25% for the June 30, 2018 valuation) and the rate for 20-year, tax-exempt general obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher (e.g. 3.87% as of June 30, 2018). Because NMRHCA is not fully prefunding benefits, Plan assets, when projected in accordance with the method prescribed by GASB 74, are expected to be sufficient to make benefit payment through June 30, 2029. Projected benefit payments are discounted by the Plan investment return assumption of 7.25% until June 30, 2029. Benefit payments after June 30, 2029 are then discounted by the municipal bond rate of 3.87%. The 4.08% is the blended discount rate reflecting benefits discounted by the Plan investment return assumption rate and the bond rate.
- The NOL has decreased from \$4.532 billion as of June 30, 2017 to \$4.348 billion as of June 30, 2018. The decrease was primarily due to:
 - o June 30, 2017 audit results: Moss Adams (on behalf of NMRHCA) advised Segal that certain PERA members included were employed with non-participating employers and requested that these members be excluded from the valuation. The removal of these members is reflected in the June 30, 2018 disclosure.
 - o The increase in discount rate from June 30, 2017 to June 30, 2018.



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority

Summary of Key Valuation Results			
	2018	2017	
Disclosure elements for fiscal year ending June 30:			
1. Service cost ⁽¹⁾	\$ 188,372,284	\$265,229,268	
2. Total OPEB Liability	5,006,011,109	5,111,141,659	
3. Plan Fiduciary Net Position	657,656,294	579,468,64	
4. Net OPEB Liability	\$4,348,354,815	\$4,531,673,018	
Schedule of contributions for fiscal year ending June 30:			
5. Actuarially determined contributions	\$156,266,741	\$317,546,94	
6. Actual contributions	\$154,358,714	\$159,379,193	
7. Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$1,908,714	\$158,167,746	
Demographic data for plan year ending June 30:			
8. Number of retired members, beneficiaries, and married dependents	51,205	51,208	
9. Number of vested terminated members	11,471	11,478	
10. Number of active members	93,349	97,349	
Key assumptions as of June 30:			
11. Discount rate	4.08%	3.81%	
12. Medical cost trend rates			
Non-Medicare medical plan	Graded from 8.00% starting in fiscal year ending 2018 to ultimate 4.50% over 14 years		
Medicare medical plans	Graded from 7.50% starting in fiscal year ending 2018 to ultimate 4.50% over 12 years		

⁽¹⁾ The service cost is based on the previous year's valuation, meaning the 2018 value is based on the valuation as of June 30, 2017.



Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the financing of future projected obligations of an OPEB plan. It is an estimated forecast – the actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting ("Segal") relies on a number of input items. These include:

- **Plan of benefits** Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. Even where they appear precise, outside factors may change how they operate. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan summary in this report (as well as the plan summary included in our funding valuation report) to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.
- > <u>Participant data</u> An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by NMRHCA. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.
- Assets This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by Moss Adams on behalf of NMRHCA.
- Actuarial assumptions In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, termination, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to health care trends and member enrollment in retiree health benefits. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan's assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.



SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority

The user of Segal's actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- > The actuarial valuation is prepared at the request of the Board to assist the sponsors of the Fund in preparing items related to the OPEB plan in their financial reports. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
- > An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan's assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures other than those required by GASB74. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.
- > If NMRHCA is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.
- > Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal's valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan's provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. The Board should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of the Plan, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to the NMRHCA Plan.



EXHIBIT 1

General Information – "Financial Statements", Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information for a Multiple-Employer Cost Sharing OPEB Plan

Plan Description

Plan administration. The NMRHCA administers the OPEB Plan - a multiple employer cost sharing OPEB plan that is used to provide postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) for retirees who were an employee of participating employer in either the New Mexico Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) or Educational Retirement Board (ERB), eligible to receive a pension. For employers who "buy-in" to the plan, retirees are eligible for benefits six months after the effective date of employer participation. At the July 11, 2014 meeting, the Board of Directors of the NMRHCA approved a change to its subsidy eligibility requirements such that retirees not in a PERA enhanced (Fire, Police, Corrections) pension plan who commence benefits on or after January 1, 2020 will not receive any subsidy from NMRHCA before age 55.

Plan membership. All regular full-time employees of the District are members of the Plan, in addition to certain job share and intermittent employees. Eligible employees become members on the first day they are physically on the job. Segal removed 4,010 members that were deemed participants of non-participating employers. As part of the June 30, 2017 GASB74/75 audit, Moss Adams advised Segal that certain members that were included were employed with non-participating employers. Segal worked with Moss Adams and NMRHCA staff to confirm and we excluded them from the valuation. At June 30, 2018, OPEB Plan membership consisted of the following:

Retired members, beneficiaries and married dependents	51,205
Vested terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving benefits	11,471
Active members	93,349
Total	156,025



Benefits provided

Benefit Types: Retirees and spouses are eligible for medical and prescription drug benefits. Dental and vision

benefits are also available, but were not included in this valuation, since they are 100% retiree-paid. A description of these benefits may be found at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us by clicking on

Retirees.

Duration of Coverage: Employees and dependents are valued for life.

Dependent Benefits: Same as retirees. **Dependent Coverage:** Same as retirees.

Retiree Contributions: The retiree contribution is derived on a service-based schedule implemented effective

7/1/2001 and updated annually. The table below shows the anticipated retiree paid portion of

claims.

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	2021 And Later
Non-Medicare Retiree	33.0%	34.3%	35.5%	36.0%
Non-Medicare Spouse	57.6%	60.5%	63.0%	64.0%
Medicare Retiree	52.7%	50.9%	50.0%	50.0%
Medicare Spouse	79.1%	76.4%	75.0%	75.0%

Retired Before 2020 or in Public Safety Pension Plan

Percent of full subsidy			Percent of full subsidy
Years of Service	based on service	Years of Service	based on service
5	6.25%	13	56.25%
6	12.50%	14	62.50%
7	18.75%	15	68.75%
8	25.00%	16	75.00%
9	31.25%	17	81.25%
10	37.50%	18	87.50%
11	43.75%	19	93.75%
12	50.00%	20+	100.00%
12	50.00%	20+	100.00%



At the July 11, 2014 meeting, the Board of Directors of the NMRHCA approved a change to its subsidy eligibility requirements as follows:

Retired After 2019 and Not in Public Safety Pension Plan

	Percent of full subsidy		Percent of full subsidy
Years of Service	based on service	Years of Service	based on service
5	4.76%	16	57.14%
6	9.52%	17	61.90%
7	14.29%	18	66.67%
8	19.05%	19	71.43%
9	23.81%	20	76.19%
10	28.57%	21	80.95%
11	33.33%	22	85.71%
12	38.10%	23	90.48%
13	42.86%	24	95.24%
14	47.62%	25+	100.00%
15	52.38%		



EXHIBIT 2

Net OPEB Liability

The components of the Net OPEB Liability are as follows:

	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017
Total OPEB Liability	\$5,006,011,109	\$5,111,141,659
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	657,656,294	<u>579,468,641</u>
System's Net OPEB Liability	\$4,348,354,815	\$4,531,673,018
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	13.14%	11.34%

The Net OPEB Liability was measured as of June 30, 2018 and 2017. The Plan's Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets) was valued as of the measurement date:

> Discount rate has been calculated as a blend of the investment return on plan assets and municipal bond rate in accordance with GASB 74 and Illustration 2 of *Implementation Guide No. 2017-2*, *Financial Reporting Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*.

Plan provisions. The plan provisions used in the measurement of the TOL as of June 30, 2018 are outlined in Exhibit II of Section 3.



Actuarial assumptions. The Total OPEB Liability was measured as of June 30, 2018 and is based upon the GASB74 valuation as of June 30, 2017 with one exception. Segal removed 4,010 members that were deemed participants of non-participating employers. As part of the June 30, 2017 GASB74/75 audit, Moss Adams advised Segal that certain members that were included in the valuation were employed with non-participating employers. Segal worked with Moss Adams and NMRHCA staff to confirm and they excluded from this valuation. The mortality, retirement, disability, turnover and salary increase assumptions are based on the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) of New Mexico Annual Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016 and the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board (ERB) Actuarial Experience Study as of June 30, 2016. In particular, the following actuarial assumptions were applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation 2.50% for ERB, 2.25% for PERA

Salary increases Ranges from 3.25% to 12.50% based on years of service, including

inflation

Investment rate of return 7.25, net of OPEB plan investment expense and margin for adverse deviation

including inflation

Other assumptions Same as those shown in Exhibit I of this report.

Health care trend 8.0% graded down to 4.5% over 14 years for Non-Medicare medical plan

costs and 7.5% graded down to 4.5% over 12 for Medicare medical plan

costs

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB Plan investments was determined using a building block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB Plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage, and by adding expected inflation and subtracting expected investment expenses and a risk margin. The target allocation and projected arithmetic real rates of return, after deducting inflation, but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:



Investment policy:

The New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority's Investment Policy is detailed in the following is the Board's adopted asset allocation policy as of June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2018:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Large Cap U.S. Equity	20%	7.1%
Mid/Small Cap U.S. Equity	3	7.1
Developed Non-US Equity	12	7.8
Emerging Markets Equity	15	10.2
U.S. Core Fixed Income	20	2.1
Private Equity	10	11.8
Credit & Structured Finance	10	5.3
Absolute Return	5	4.1
Real Estate	5	4.9
Total	100%	

Rate of return. For the year ended June 30, 2018, the annual money-weighted rate of return on investments, net of investment expense and margin for adverse deviation, was assumed to be 7.25 percent. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.



Municipal Bond Rate: 3.87% and 3.58% based on the 20-year municipal bond rate for the Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index as of June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017 respectively.

Discount rate: The discount rate used to measure the Total OPEB Liability was 4.08% as of June 30, 2018 and 3.81% as of June 30, 2017. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed employer and plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate. For this purpose, only employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits of current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs of future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB Plan's assets was projected to be sufficient to make projected future benefit payments for current plan members through June 30, 2029. Payments after that date would be funded by employer assets. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on OPEB Plan investments (7.25%) was applied to periods of projected benefit payments through June 30, 2029, and the 20-year municipal bond rate (3.87%) was applied to periods after June 30, 2029 to determine the Total OPEB Liability.



Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the Net OPEB Liability of NMRHCA as of June 30, 2018, calculated using the discount rate of 4.08%, as well as what NMRHCA's Net OPEB Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (3.08%) or 1-percentage-point higher (5.08%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease	Current Discount	1% Increase
	(3.08 %)	(4.08 %)	(5.08 %)
Net OPEB Liability as of June 30, 2018	\$5,262,533,266	\$4,348,354,815	\$3,627,778,443

Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to changes in the trend rate. The following presents the Net OPEB Liability of NMRHCA as of June 30, 2018, calculated using the current trend rates as well as what NMRHCA's Net OPEB Liability would be if it were calculated using a trend rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease*	Current Trend*	1% Increase*	_
OPEB Liability as of June 30, 2018	\$3,675,884,346	\$4,348,354,815	\$4,875,586,778	

^{*} Current (applied as of June 30, 2018) trend rates: 7.75% graded down to 4.5% over 13 years for Non-Medicare medical plan costs and 7.25% graded down to 4.5% over 11 years for Medicare medical plan costs.



EXHIBIT 3
Schedules of Changes in NMRHCA's Net OPEB Liability – Most Recent Fiscal Year

	2018	2017
Total OPEB Liability		
Service cost	\$188,372,284	\$265,229,268
Interest	199,583,585	187,563,383
Change of benefit terms	0	0
Differences between expected and actual experience	(145,524,098)	(210,435,519)
Changes due to experience gain and assumption changes, not including change in discount rate	0	(247,663,590)
Change of discount rate assumption	(225,363,066)	(711,092,411)
Total claims and premiums	(320,403,577)	(294,107,402)
Retirees' contributions offset to claims and premiums	167,949,226	153,464,136
Medicare Part D and rebates offset to claims and premiums	<u>30,255,096</u>	26,944,632
Net change in Total OPEB Liability	(\$105,130,550)	(\$830,097,503)
Total OPEB Liability – beginning	\$5,111,141,659	\$5,941,239,162
Total OPEB Liability – ending (a)	\$5,006,011,109	<u>\$5,111,141,659</u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position ⁽²⁾		
Contributions – total employer	85,401,662	85,877,446
Contributions – total employee	210,650,057	196,393,352
Net investment income	49,757,591	96,066,163
Benefit payments	(320,403,577)	(294,393,452)
Medicare Part D and rebates offset to benefit payments	30,255,096	26,944,632
Administrative expense	(2,821,224)	(2,936,860)
Other	<u>25,348,048</u>	<u>(956,991)</u>
Net change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$78,187,653	\$106,994,290
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – beginning ⁽¹⁾	\$ <u>579,468,641</u>	\$472,474,351
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – ending (b)	<u>\$657,656,294</u>	\$579,468,641
Plan's Net OPEB Liability – ending (a) – (b)	\$4,348,354,815	\$4,531,673,018
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	13.14%	11.34%
Covered payroll	\$4,290,616,760	\$4,165,647,340
Plan Net OPEB Liability as percentage of covered payroll	101.35%	108.79%

⁽¹⁾ Net position restricted for postemployment benefits other than pensions reported in NMRHCA 2018 financial statements.



⁽²⁾Employee contributions includes retiree contributions and tax administration and suspense fund revenue is in Other for 2018.

EXHIBIT 4
Schedule of NMRHCA's Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years

Year Ended June 30	Actuarially Determined Contributions ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Contributions ir Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions ⁽¹⁾	Contribution	Covered Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2008	\$275,517,523	\$94,224,026	\$181,293,497	N/A	N/A
2009	286,538,224	96,816,528	189,721,696	\$4,020,508,902	2.41%
2010	297,999,753	114,847,107	183,152,646	N/A	N/A
2011	326,994,988	120,873,224	206,121,764	\$4,001,802,240	3.02%
2012	340,074,787	142,053,551	198,021,236	N/A	N/A
2013	353,657,828	135,388,449	218,269,379	\$3,876,220,608	3.49%
2014	367,804,141	149,277,185	218,526,956	N/A	N/A
2015	292,656,765	156,670,251	135,986,514	\$3,941,587,760	3.97%
2016	303,631,394	159,862,801	143,768,593	N/A	N/A
2017	317,546,941	159,379,195	158,167,747	\$4,165,647,340	3.83%
$2018^{(3)}$	156,266,741	154,358,714	1,908,027	\$4,290,616,760	3.60%

See accompanying notes to this exhibit on next page.



⁽¹⁾ Includes an interest adjustment to the end of the year through fiscal year-end June 30, 2017.

⁽²⁾ All "Actuarially Determined Contributions" through June 30, 2017 were determined as the "Annual Required Contribution" under GASB 43.

⁽³⁾ All "Actuarially Determined Contributions" for June 30, 2018 were determined based upon the funding policy and projected contributions from the crossover test used in the June 30, 2017 valuation. Covered payroll was rolled forward from the June 30, 2017 at 3.00% assumed payroll increases using a member-weighted average by PERA and ERB payroll growth rates rounded to the nearest 0.25% (pg.11).

Notes to Exhibit 4		
Methods and assumptions used to establish "actuarially determined contribution" (ADC) rates:		
Valuation date		Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30.
Actuarial cost method		Entry Age Cost Method
Amortization method		Level percent of payroll
Remaining amortization period		30-year open (non-decreasing)
Asset valuation method		Market value of assets.
2016 valuation for fiscal year 2017 Annual Required Contribution (ARC):		
Actuarial assumptions:	<u>PERA</u>	ERB
Investment rate of return	7.25%	7.25%
Inflation rate	3.00%	3.00%
Real across-the-board salary increase	0.50%	0.75%
Payroll Growth Rate	3.50%	3.50%
2017 valuation for fiscal year 2018 Actuarially		
Determined Contribution (ADC):		
Actuarial assumptions	<u>PERA</u>	ERB
Investment rate of return	7.25%	7.25%
Inflation rate	2.25%	2.50%
Real across-the-board salary increase	0.50%	0.75%
Payroll Growth Rate	2.75%	3.00%



EXHIBIT 5
Projection of OPEB Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2018

Year Beginning	Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Projected Total Contributions	Projected Benefit Payments	Projected Administrative Expenses	Projected Investment Earnings	Projected Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position* (f) = (a) + (b) -
July 1,	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(c) - (d) + (e)
2018	\$657,656,294	\$147,559,756	\$146,607,034	\$0	\$47,714,618	\$706,323,634
2019	706,323,634	140,406,562	\$157,726,317	0	50,580,622	739,584,502
2020	739,584,502	133,848,772	\$167,283,668	0	52,407,861	758,557,466
2021	758,557,466	127,676,744	\$177,485,933	0	53,189,833	761,938,110
2022	761,938,110	121,951,849	\$187,389,225	0	52,868,408	749,369,142
2023	749,369,142	116,512,759	\$197,995,661	0	51,375,508	719,261,748
2024	719,261,748	111,187,623	\$208,362,077	0	48,623,903	670,711,197
2025	670,711,197	105,947,622	\$219,244,064	0	44,519,566	601,934,320
2026	601,934,320	100,853,872	\$230,382,986	0	38,944,808	511,350,014
2027	511,350,014	95,859,160	\$242,467,218	0	31,758,334	396,500,290
2028	396,500,290	90,965,295	\$255,124,609	0	22,795,496	255,136,472
2029	255,136,472	86,209,312	\$267,767,500	0	11,915,910	85,494,194
2030	85,494,194	81,542,836	\$280,209,752	0	(1,003,347)	(114,176,070)

Note: Shown until Projected Plan Fiduciary Net Position goes to zero. The discount rate is determined by discounting the projected benefits financed by the OPEB plan investments (benefit payments until the 2028/2029 plan year) using the 7.25% discount rate and benefit payments beyond the 2028/2029 plan year using the index rate for 20-year, tax-exempt general obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher (3.87%).



EXHIBIT 5

Projection of OPEB Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2018 (\$ in thousands) - continued

Notes:

- (1) Amounts may not total exactly due to rounding
- (2) Years beyond 2030 have been omitted from this table as the Fiduciary Net Position is zero.
- (3) <u>Column (b):</u> Projected total contributions are calculated as fixed percentages of payroll. Contributions are assumed to occur halfway through the year on average.
- (4) <u>Column (c):</u> Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraphs 43-47 of GASB Statement No. 74 and are based on the closed group of active, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2018.
- (5) <u>Column (d):</u> Projected administrative expenses have been reflected in benefit payments.
- (6) <u>Column (e):</u> Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of 7.25% per annum and reflect the assumed timing of benefit payments made at the beginning of each month.
- (7) As illustrated in this Exhibit, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be exhausted by June 30, 2030.



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

Data: Detailed census data and financial data for postemployment benefits were provided by

New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority.

Actuarial Cost Method: Entry age, level percent of pay, calculated on individual basis.

Measurement Date: June 30, 2018

Census Date: January 1, 2017, updated to remove non-participating employers

Discount Rate: 4.08%



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for PERA members

PERA Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:

After Service Retirement: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality projected with Scale AA to 2018.

After Disability Retirement: RP-2000 Disabled Mortality projected with Scale AA to 2018 before attainment of

normal retirement age and after normal retirement age RP-2000 Combined Healthy

Mortality Projected with Scale AA to 2018.

The tables shown above were determined so as to reasonably reflect future mortality

improvement, based on the June 30, 2015 PERA pension valuation.

PERA Termination Rates before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality: RP-2000 Employee Mortality Table projected with Scale AA to 2018.

			e General Male		
	Rate	es of Active Mer			ear
Sample _		Sam	ple Service (Yr.)):	
Ages	2	4	6	8	10+
20	18.76%	10.86%	8.21%	7.78%	5.11%
25	17.72	11.06	8.10	7.07	4.65
30	16.45	11.27	7.97	6.18	4.13
35	15.31	10.81	7.59	5.58	3.89
40	14.30	9.97	7.08	5.40	3.86
45	13.55	9.06	6.63	5.40	3.86
50	13.26	8.45	6.49	5.40	3.86
55	13.26	8.37	6.49	5.40	3.86
60	13.26	8.37	6.49	5.40	3.86



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

		State	General Fema	les	
_	Rate	es of Active Mer	nbers Termina	ting During Ye	ear
Sample		Sam	ple Service (Yr.)) :	
Ages	2	4	6	8	10+
20	18.13%	11.95%	8.22%	6.05%	4.83%
25	17.76	11.95	8.02	5.81	4.25
30	17.28	11.89	7.81	5.54	3.55
35	16.34	11.23	7.45	5.28	3.46
40	15.22	10.24	6.99	5.06	3.46
45	14.19	9.20	6.58	4.95	3.46
50	13.52	8.55	6.45	4.80	3.46
55	13.37	8.50	6.45	4.70	3.46
60	13.37	8.50	6.45	4.70	3.46

_		Munio	cipal General M	ales	
	Rate	es of Active Me	mbers Termina	ting During Ye	ear
Sample		San	nple Service (Yr.)) :	
Ages	2	4	6	8	10+
20	21.70%	14.59%	11.29%	8.93%	8.54%
25	20.00	13.52	10.26	8.05	7.32
30	17.73	12.04	8.96	6.94	5.69
35	15.77	10.65	8.01	6.20	4.61
40	14.06	9.37	7.29	5.73	3.92
45	12.80	8.39	6.87	5.58	3.65
50	12.20	8.01	6.79	5.58	3.65
55	12.18	8.01	6.79	5.58	3.65
60	12.18	8.01	6.79	5.58	3.65



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

_		Munici	pal General Fe	males	
	Rate	es of Active Me	mbers Termina	ating During Ye	ear
Sample _		San	aple Service (Yr.	.):	
Ages	2	4	6	8	10+
20	24.40%	17.77%	14.41%	11.94%	7.51%
25	21.96	16.06	12.80	10.32	6.38
30	18.85	13.77	10.63	8.16	4.94
35	16.69	11.96	9.08	6.70	4.09
40	15.16	10.49	7.84	5.74	3.67
45	14.28	9.49	6.50	5.31	3.62
50	14.01	9.14	6.50	5.30	3.62
55	14.01	9.14	6.50	5.30	3.62
60	14.01	9.14	6.50	5.30	3.62

	Service Based Rates of Active Members Terminating During Year								
_		Sample Service (Yr.):							
All Ages	1	3	5	7	10+				
State Police & Corrections	20.00	16.00	9.00	8.00	6.00				
Municipal Detention	22.00	16.00	10.00	10.00	6.00				
Municipal Police	14.00	9.50	6.80	5.15	3.80				
Municipal Fire	10.00	7.50	5.00	3.30	3.00				



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

	State (General	State Police and	Municipa	al General	Municipal	Municipal
Age	Male	Female	Corrections*	Male	Female	Police	Fire
25	0.02	0.02	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.02
30	0.04	0.03	0.16	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.02
35	0.08	0.06	0.21	0.12	0.04	0.12	0.02
40	0.13	0.12	0.27	0.17	0.06	0.17	0.08
45	0.24	0.20	0.46	0.25	0.14	0.26	0.08
50	0.41	0.39	0.90	0.40	0.25	0.42	0.33
55	0.57	0.61	1.40	0.65	0.39	0.73	0.33
60	0.74	0.73	1.88	0.80	0.51	1.22	1.17
65	0.75	0.73	1.88	0.82	0.59	1.22	1.17

^{*} Police and Corrections were not identified separately in the census data. We have used the Corrections assumption because the subgroup comprises about 75% of the combined group total.



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for PERA members

PERA Retirement Rates:

These rates are applicable when employee becomes eligible for retirement based on age/service combination.

	Retirement Rates (%)								
_	State (General		Municipal General					
Retirement Ages	Male Female		State Police and Corrections*	Male	Female	Municipal Police	Municipal Fire		
40	40	35	50	35	35	30	40		
45	40	35	50	35	35	30	35		
50	40	35	50	40	40	30	30		
55	40	35	50	30	25	30	30		
60	30	40	50	30	30	30	20		
65	30	35	40	35	35	50	50		
70	25	30	100	25	25	100	100		
75	25	25		25	25				
80	100	100		100	100				



PERA Salary Increases:

Salary increases occur in recognition of (i) individual merit and longevity, (ii) inflation-related depreciation of the purchasing power of salaries, and (iii) other factors such as productivity gains and competition from other employers for personnel. A schedule of long-term rates of increase is used to project salaries from valuation salaries to final average salaries upon which pensions are based. Sample rates follow:

	Annual Rate	s (%) of Salary	Increase for Sa	ample Years o	f Service
Attributable to:	1	5	10	15	20
General Increase in Wage Level Due to:					
Inflation	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25
Other factors	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Increase Due to Merit/Longevity:					
State General	7.75	1.75	0.50	0.50	0.50
State Police and Corrections	9.75	2.75	1.75	1.75	1.75
Municipal General	2.25	1.75	0.50	0.50	0.50
Municipal Police	8.25	3.25	2.00	1.25	1.25
Municipal Fire	8.25	3.25	2.00	2.00	2.00



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for ERB members

ERB Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:

After Retirement:

Males: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment

generationally projected with Scale BB.

Females: GRS Southwest Region Teacher Mortality Table, set back one year, generationally

projected with Scale BB.

After Disability Retirement: RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table, set back three years for males and no set back for

females, projected with Scale BB to 2016.

The tables shown above were determined so as to reasonably reflect future mortality

improvement, based on the June 30, 2016 ERB pension valuation.

ERB Assumptions used for ERB members

Pre-Retirement Mortality RP-2000 Employee Mortality Table, set back two years and scaled 80% for males and

set back five years and scaled 70% for females, projected with Scale BB to 2016.

ERB Termination Rates before Retirement:

	Disability Incide	ence – Rates(%)
Age	Males	Females
25	0.007	0.010
30	0.007	0.020
35	0.042	0.050
40	0.091	0.080
45	0.133	0.120
50	0.168	0.168
55	0.182	0.168



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for ERB members

ERB Termination Rates before Retirement (continued):

Active Members Terminating During year – Rates (%)

Completed Service	Males	Females
0	43.4	31.4
1	28.1	23.8
2	19.6	17.2
3	14.3	13.5
4	11.9	10.6
5	10.0	9.8
6	9.1	8.6
7	7.3	7.2
8	6.1	6.3
9	5.7	5.5
10	5.2	5.0
11	4.2	4.7
12	4.0	4.2
13	3.4	3.6
14	3.4	3.5
15	3.1	3.3
16	2.2	2.3
17	2.3	2.7
18	2.3	2.1
19 and over	0.0	0.0



EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for ERB members

ERB Retirement Rates:

Members Hired before July 1, 2010 and Normal Retirement for Members Hired on or After July 1, 2010

Retirement – Rates(%)

			<u>Mal</u> Years of			
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25+
45	0	0	0	0	0	15
50	0	0	0	0	0	18
55	0	0	0	0	5	20
60	0	0	0	15	20	25
62	0	0	30	30	30	30
65	0	40	35	30	30	30
67	0	25	25	25	30	30
70	100	100	100	100	100	100
			<u>Fema</u> Years of			
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25+
45	0	0	0	0	0	15
50	0	0	0	0	0	18
55	0	0	0	0	6	23
60	0	0	0	20	15	25
62	0	0	40	30	30	35
65	0	35	40	40	40	40
67	0	25	25	25	30	30
70	100	100	100	100	100	100



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Assumptions used for ERB members

ERB Retirement Rates:

Members Hired on or after July 1, 2010

Retirement – Rates(%)

Males Years of Service

Age	15-19	20-24	25-29
55	0	0	5
60	0	20	20
62	30	30	30
65	30	30	30

<u>Females</u> Years of Service

Age	15-19	20-24	25-29
55	0	0	6
60	0	15	15
62	30	30	30
65	40	40	40



ERB Salary Increases:

General Increase in Wage Level Due to:

Inflation: 2.50% Productivity increase rate: 0.75%

Salary increases occur in recognition of (i) individual merit and longevity, (ii) plus step-rate/promotional as shown:

Years of Service	Annual Step-Rate (%)/Promotional Component Rates of Increase	Total Annual Rate (%) of Increase
0	8.75	12.00
1	3.00	6.25
2	2.00	5.25
3	1.50	4.75
4	1.25	4.50
5	1.00	4.25
6	0.75	4.00
7	0.50	3.75
8	0.50	3.75
9	0.50	3.75
10 or more	0.00	3.25



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Administrative Expenses: Non-Medicare: \$357/year

Medicare Supplement: \$437/year

Medicare Advantage: \$55/year

The 2017/2018 non-Medicare administrative expense was assumed to have no increase for 3 years, then increase by 2.0% in 2020/2021 and thereafter. The 2017/2018 Medicare Supplement administrative expense was assumed to increase by 2.0% per year. The 2017/2018 Medicare Advantage administrative expense was assumed to have no projected future increases. The 2018/2019 administrative expenses were updated to reflect these increase assumptions.

Per Capita Cost Development:

The assumed costs on a composite basis (and other demographic factors such as sex and family status) are the future costs of providing postretirement health care benefits at each age. To determine the assumed costs on a composite basis, historical claims costs are reviewed, and adjusted for increases in the cost of health care services.

Per Capita Costs:

Annual medical and drug claims costs for the 2017/2018 plan year, excluding assumed expenses were developed actuarially for retirees and spouses at select ages and are shown in the table below. These costs are net of deductibles and other benefit plan cost sharing provisions. The 2018/2019 claims costs reflect these rates increased for assumed healthcare cost trend.

	Premier Non-Medicare				Premier Non-Medicare Value Non-Medicare					-Medicare	Drug Rel	oates
	Ret	iree	Spo	use	Ret	iree	Spc	use	Ret	iree	Spc	use
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
50	\$7,359	\$8,382	\$5,140	\$6,731	\$5,566	\$6,340	\$3,888	\$5,091	-\$399	-\$454	-\$279	-\$365
55	8,740	9,023	6,878	7,791	6,611	6,825	5,203	5,893	-474	-489	-373	-422
60	10,379	9,726	9,208	9,036	7,851	7,357	6,965	6,834	-562	-527	-499	-490
64	11,908	10,318	11,624	10,170	9,007	7,804	8,793	7,692	-645	-559	-630	-551



EXHIBIT I Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

United Healthcare Medicare Advantage			I	BCBS Sup	plementa	al	ВСВ	S (Medica	re Advan	itage)		
	Ret	iree	Spo	ouse	Ret	iree	Spc	ouse	Ret	iree	Spo	ouse
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
65	\$1,719	\$1,461	\$1,719	\$1,461	\$4,747	\$4,035	\$4,747	\$4,035	\$1,031	\$876	\$1,031	\$876
70	1,992	1,575	1,992	1,575	5,502	4,348	5,502	4,348	1,195	944	1,195	944
75	2,147	1,695	2,147	1,695	5,929	4,681	5,929	4,681	1,288	1,017	1,288	1,017
80	2,312	1,827	2,312	1,827	6,385	5,046	6,385	5,046	1,387	1,096	1,387	1,096
	Presbyterian Medicare Advantage			vantage	M	Medicare Drug Rebates			Medicare Drug Subsidy			
	Ret	iree	Ret	iree	Ret	Retiree Spouse		ouse	Retiree		Spouse	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
65	\$1,911	\$1,624	\$1,911	\$1,624	-\$1,309	-\$1,113	-\$1,309	-\$1,113	-\$128	-\$109	-\$128	-\$109
70	2,215	1,750	2,215	1,750	-1,517	-1,199	-1,517	-1,199	-148	-117	-148	-117
75	2,387	1,884	2,387	1,884	-1,635	-1,291	-1,635	-1,291	-160	-126	-160	-126
80	2,570	2,031	2,570	2,031	-1,761	-1,391	-1,761	-1,391	-172	-136	-172	-136
ı		I				I		I				
Drug	Increase 1	Rebate As	sumption	s The 2	2017/2018	annual dr	ug rebate	for non-Me	edicare re	tirees was	assumed t	to have no
						e increases	-					
				1 3								
				The 2	2017/2018	annual dr	ug rebate	for Medica	re retiree	s with BCE	S Medica	are
							•	ave no pro				

Medicare Part D Subsidy Assumption:

These calculations include an offset for retiree prescription drug plan federal subsidies that the Plan is eligible to receive because the Plan has been determined to be a Medicare PDP. The subsidy shown above per eligible retiree or spouse for 2017/2018, was assumed to increase by 5% for 5 years, and 4% thereafter. The 2018/2019 Medicare Drug subsidies reflect this increase assumption.



Unknown Data for Members: Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not

specified, members are assumed to be male. For active participants with unknown dates of birth, we assumed their age at entry was that of the average for actives with

date of birth.

Spouse Coverage: 55% male, 30% female.

Age of Spouse: Wives are 3 years younger than their husbands.



EXHIBIT I

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Future Benefit Accruals: 1.0 year of service per year.

Participation and Election: 75% of the active participants are assumed to continue coverage at retirement. 25% of

employees terminating prior to retiring, and eligible, are assumed to elect NMRHCA

benefits at retirement.

Future retirees are assumed to elect medical carriers in the same proportion as current

retirees:

Non-Medicare Plan	Medical Election %
Premier	86%
Value Plan	14%

Medicare Plan	Medical Election %
BCBS Medicare Supplement	64%
BCBS Senior Plan I or II	11%
Presbyterian Senior Plan I or II	17%
United Healthcare Plan I or II	8%

Former Vested

Retirement Age: Former vested members are assumed to begin receiving retiree health benefits at

age 60.

Actuarial Value of Assets*: The actuarial value of assets is equal to the market value of assets.

Actuarial Cost Method: Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method. Entry Age is the age at the member's hire date.

Actuarial Accrued Liability is calculated on an individual basis and is based on costs

allocated as a level percentage of compensation.



^{*} The assets as of June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2018 were drafts provided by the auditor.

EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Health Care Cost Subsidy Trend Rates:

Health care trend measures the anticipated overall rate at which health plan costs are expected to increase in future years. Trend rates are used to increase the stated subsidies into the future. For example, the projected per capita cost for a male retiree age 64 covered under the Premier Plan in the year July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 would be determined with the following formula: $[\$11,908 \times (1 + 8.0\%)] = \$12,861$.

Rates (%)

Plan Year Ended June 30,	All Non-Medicare Plans	All Medicare Plans
2018	8.00	7.50
2019	7.75	7.25
2020	7.50	7.00
2021	7.25	6.75
2022	7.00	6.50
2023	6.75	6.25
2024	6.50	6.00
2025	6.25	5.75
2026	6.00	5.50
2027	5.75	5.25
2028	5.50	5.00
2029	5.25	4.75
2030	5.00	4.50
2031	4.75	4.50
2032 & Later	4.50	4.50



EXHIBIT I Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method (continued)

Funding Policy:	Retiree benefits are funded from a combination of employer contributions as a percentage (2.50% for Public Safety, and 2.00% for Other Occupations) of compensation and member contributions as a percentage (1.25% for Public Safety and 1.00% for Other Occupation) of compensation to fund the cost of the subsidy, with the remaining cost funded by retiree contributions, RHCA Statutory Distribution, investment income and the Retiree Drug Subsidy from CMS.
Plan Design:	Development of plan liabilities was based on the substantive plan of benefits in effect as described in Exhibit III.

Changes in Assumptions: No assumption changes since the June 30, 2017 GASB74 valuation.



EXHIBIT II

Summary of Plan

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions as included in the valuation. To the best of our knowledge, the summary represents the substantive plans as of the measurement date. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all benefit provisions.

Eligibility:

A retiree who was an employee of either New Mexico PERA or an ERB eligible to receive a pension, is eligible for retiree health benefits.

For employers who "buy-in" to the plan, retirees are eligible for benefits six months

after the effective date of employer participation.

At the July 11, 2014 meeting, the Board of Directors of the NMRHCA approved a change to its subsidy eligibility requirements such that retirees not in a PERA enhanced (Fire, Police, Corrections) pension plan who commence benefits on or after

January 1, 2020 will not receive any subsidy from NMRHCA before age 55.

Benefit Types: Retirees and spouses are eligible for medical and prescription drug benefits.

For Calendar years 2017 and prior there was a NMRHCA-paid Basic Life benefit of \$6000 for all retirees who commenced benefits on or before December 31, 2012. The \$6000 benefit decreases \$1500 per year commencing January 1, 2018 until January 1,

2021 at which time retirees must pay 100% of the premium cost.

Dental and vision benefits are also available, but were not included in this valuation,

since they are 100% retiree-paid.

A description of these benefits may be found at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us by clicking

on Enrolled Participants.

Duration of Coverage: Employees and dependents are valued for life.



EXHIBIT II

Summary of Plan (continued)

Retiree Contributions:

The retiree contribution is derived on a service-based schedule implemented effective 7/1/2001 and updated annually. The table below shows the anticipated employee paid portion of claims.

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	2021 And Later
Non-Medicare Retiree	33.0%	34.3%	35.5%	36.0%
Non-Medicare Spouse	57.6%	60.5%	63.0%	64.0%
Medicare Retiree	52.7%	50.9%	50.0%	50.0%
Medicare Spouse	79.1%	76.4%	75.0%	75.0%

Retired Before 2020 or in Public Safety Pension Plan

	Percent of full subsidy		Percent of full subsidy
Years of Service	based on service	Years of Service	based on service
5	6.25%	13	56.25%
6	12.50%	14	62.50%
7	18.75%	15	68.75%
8	25.00%	16	75.00%
9	31.25%	17	81.25%
10	37.50%	18	87.50%
11	43.75%	19	93.75%
12	50.00%	20+	100.00%



EXHIBIT II

Summary of Plan (continued)

At the July 11, 2014 meeting, the Board of Directors of the NMRHCA approved a change to its subsidy eligibility requirements as follows:

Retired After 2019 and Not in Public Safety Pension Plan

	Percent of full subsidy		Percent of full subsidy
Years of Service	based on service	Years of Service	based on service
5	4.76%	16	57.14%
6	9.52%	17	61.90%
7	14.29%	18	66.67%
8	19.05%	19	71.43%
9	23.81%	20	76.19%
10	28.57%	21	80.95%
11	33.33%	22	85.71%
12	38.10%	23	90.48%
13	42.86%	24	95.24%
14	47.62%	25+	100.00%
15	52.38%		



EXHIBIT II

Summary of Plan (continued)

Dental

Eligibility This benefit was not included in the valuation, because retirees pay 100% of the cost.

Vision

Eligibility This benefit was not included in the valuation, because retirees pay 100% of the cost.

Life

Eligibility For Calendar years 2017 and prior there was a NMRHCA-paid Basic Life benefit of

\$6000 for all retirees who commenced benefits on or before December 31, 2012. The \$6000 benefit decreases \$1500 per year commencing January 1, 2018 until January 1, 2021 at which time retirees must pay 100% of the premium cost.

5559596v6/05496.019

